

Interaction effects in multi-subband quantum wires

Arisato Kawabata, Tobias Brandes

Department of Physics, Gakushuin University 1-5-1 Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171 Japan

(Received February 1, 2008)

We investigate the effect of electron-electron interactions on the transport properties of disordered quasi one-dimensional quantum wires with two or more subbands occupied. We apply two alternative methods to solve the logarithmic divergent problem, namely the parquet graph theory and a renormalization group calculation. We solve the group equations analytically in the weak coupling limit and find a power-law for the temperature dependent conductivity of a multi-channel system. The exponent is roughly equal to the inverse of the number of the occupied subbands.

KEYWORDS: Tomonaga–Luttinger liquid, quantum wire, Coulomb interaction, mesoscopic, renormalization group

One-dimensional interacting electron systems have attracted considerable interest recently. Much attention has focussed on striking effects in presence of disorder potentials such as a vanishing conductance at zero temperature and non-Luttinger liquid behavior¹⁾. Experimentally, the temperature dependence of the conductivity $\sigma(T)$ of long wires²⁾ seems to favor predictions of the Tomonaga-Luttinger model³⁾. Although the latter has become a standard way to describe correlated 1d electrons, there are still arguments for ordinary Fermi liquid theory to be valid in the presence of disorder⁴⁾. Investigations of multi-component models where directed mainly towards a small number of coupled chains³⁾, triggered by the possible relation to 2d superconductivity⁵⁾, or tunneling⁶⁾. On the other hand, in transport experiments one is able to drive a system from the extreme quantum limit of one to a large number of N occupied subbands. The question then is how Coulomb effects at low temperatures change when N is varied, with the possible re-establishing of Fermi-liquid behavior in the limit of large N .

In this Letter, we present a microscopic theory for the conductivity σ of a multi-subband wire in presence of an arbitrary, weak static disorder potential and Coulomb interactions between the electrons. One of our central predictions is a temperature dependence

$$\sigma(T) \sim T^{1/N} \quad (1)$$

in the limit of weak interactions $a^*/W \gg 1$, where a^* is the effective Bohr radius and W the wire width. A similar $1/N$ dependence has been found by Flensberg⁷⁾ for the conductance of a quantum dot coupled to quantum point contacts in the framework of a multichannel Tomonaga-Luttinger model. The result Eq. (1) is a generalization of the one-channel case⁸⁾ $\sigma(T) \sim T^{\lambda(2g_2-g_1)}$, where the factor $\lambda g_2 \approx 1/2$ turned out to be 'nearly' universal, while $\lambda g_1 = c_1/a^*k_F$ (c_1 a constant of order unity which depends on the structure of the wire) is negligible since typically $k_F \sim 1/W$.

We generalize the parquet diagram theory^{9,8)} to the

present case and give an alternative, microscopic derivation using a standard one-loop renormalization group (RG) calculation which reproduces exactly the vertex equations of the parquet theory. It turns out that the singular ($q \rightarrow 0$), logarithmically divergent Coulomb interaction has to be renormalized. Namely, one has to take into account all diagrams which contain powers of the interaction matrix elements, which physically means that the interaction effectively is replaced by a screened Coulomb interaction. This reduces the problem to that of short range interactions which dominate the low- T behavior of the conductivity. The latter conclusion is in contrast to calculations¹⁰⁾, where a contribution from $4k_F$ scattering was found to be dominant at low T in the case of long range Coulomb interactions and delta impurities. At present, it is not clear if this 'Wigner-crystal pinning' effect dominates over the $2k_F$ -contribution in experiments with relatively short wires²⁾. Nevertheless, we believe that there should be a wide temperature regime over which the $1/N$ behavior Eq. (1) can be observed.

We start from a multi-band quantum wire with dispersion E_{nk} , where n is the band index and k the momentum of plane waves in x -direction. The interaction potentials are $g_{nm}(q)$ for scattering of two incoming electrons in band n to band m , and $h_{mn}(q)$ for scattering of two electrons from band m and n to band n and m with momentum transfer q ,

$$\frac{\varepsilon^* g_{nm}(q)}{2e^2} = \int dy dy' K_0(q|y-y'|) \phi_m(y) \phi_n(y') \phi_m(y') \phi_n(y), \quad (2a)$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon^* h_{nm}(q)}{2e^2} = \int dy dy' K_0(q|y-y'|) \phi_m^2(y) \phi_n^2(y'), \quad (2b)$$

where $K_0(z)$ is the modified Bessel function, ε^* the effective dielectric constant, and $\phi_n(y)$ the (real) wave functions in transversal direction. The vertex corrections to the backward scattering are singular when the wave numbers of the incoming and outgoing electrons are close to one of the Fermi wave numbers $\pm k_n$, while those to the

forward scattering are singular only through the divergence of the potentials at small $q^{9,8}$. For the moment, we assume short range potentials g_{nm} and h_{nm} regular at $q = 0$ and show below how to treat the Coulomb case. We write the vertices in the form $\Phi_s(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$, where $\mathbf{p} = (p_i, \varepsilon_i)$, p_1, ε_1 and p_2, ε_2 are the wave numbers and the Matsubara frequencies of the incoming electrons, p_3, ε_3 and p_4, ε_4 are those of the outgoing electrons, and the suffix s indicates the spins states of the incoming electrons, i.e., $s = 1$ for anti-parallel spins and $s = 2$ for parallel spins. Two kinds of vertices have to be distinguished. The vertex $\gamma_{snm}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$ ($n \neq m$) is that for $p_1 \cong -k_m, p_2 \cong k_n, p_3 \cong k_n, p_4 \cong -k_m$, and $\chi_{snm}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$ is that for $p_1 \cong -k_n, p_2 \cong k_n, p_3 \cong k_m, p_4 \cong -k_m$ (the case of $n = m$ will be included in $\chi_{snm}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$). In the parquet diagram method⁹, the vertex γ_{snm} is composed of two irreducible parts σ_{snm} and S_{snm} : σ_{snm} (S_{snm}) is the sum of the all the graphs which can be separated by cutting two antiparallel (parallel) electron lines. In the same way irreducible vertex parts τ_{snm} and T_{snm} for χ_{snm} are introduced. The corresponding integral equations have the form ($m \neq n$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1nm}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4) &= g_{1nm} \\ &+ k_B T \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \{ S_{1nm}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) G_n(\mathbf{k}) G_m(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \\ &\times \gamma_{2nm}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_4) + T_{1mn}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \\ &\times G_m(\mathbf{k}) G_n(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \chi_{2nm}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_4) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_4$, $g_{1nm} = g_{nm}(k_n + k_m)$, and $G_n(\mathbf{k})$ is the one electron Green's function $G_n(\mathbf{k}) = 1/(i\hbar\varepsilon_\nu - E_{n,\mathbf{k}} + \mu)$, μ being the chemical potential. The notation $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$ means that the terms in which the subscript 1 and 2 of the vertices are interchanged are to be added. The sum $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\varepsilon_\nu} \int dk/2\pi$ gives rise to the logarithmic divergence at zero temperature when $\mathbf{q} = (k_n + k_m, 0)$. The parquet graph method takes into account the contributions of all graphs giving rise to such singularities. The vertices can be regarded as functions of two parameters η and ξ , where (v_n are the Fermi velocities)

$$\eta \equiv \frac{1}{4} \log \left[\frac{\varepsilon_0^4}{(\omega^2 + v_n^2 \Delta q^2)(\omega^2 + v_m^2 \Delta q^2)} \right], \quad (4)$$

and a corresponding definition for ξ with $(\Delta q, \omega)$ replaced by $(\Delta p, \Delta\varepsilon)$, where $\Delta q = p_3 - p_1 - k_m - k_n$, $\omega = \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1$, $\Delta p = p_1 + p_2 + k_m - k_n$ and $\Delta\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$, ε_0 being the cut-off frequency of order of Fermi energy divided by $\hbar$. Thus one has $\sigma_{1nm}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_4) = \sigma_{1nm}(\xi, \eta)$ etc. We introduce $\lambda_{nm} := (\pi\hbar(v_n + v_m))^{-1}$ and the product $F \cdot G(\xi, \eta) = \int_0^\xi F(\xi, \min[t, \eta]) G(\xi, t) dt$ for $\xi > \eta$ and $F \cdot G(\xi, \eta) = \int_0^\eta F(\min[t, \xi], \eta) G(t, \eta) dt$ for $\eta > \xi$. In the case $\eta > \xi$, eq. (3) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1nm}(\xi, \eta) - g_{1nm} &= -\lambda_{nm} \{ S_{1nm} \cdot \gamma_{2nm}(\xi, \eta) + \\ &+ T_{1mn} \cdot \chi_{2nm}(\xi, \eta) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The equations for the remaining vertices are similar ex-

cept for the spin structures and the terms of lowest order in the interaction. Since the expressions are quite lengthy, we will present the detailed calculations elsewhere. The important point is that the set of integral equations can be transformed into differential equations whose physical meaning becomes transparent in view of the RG calculation below. The result is

$$\gamma'_{1nm}(\xi) = -2\lambda_{nm} [\gamma_{1nm}^2(\xi) + \chi_{1nm}(\xi)\chi_{2nm}(\xi)], \quad (6a)$$

$$\gamma'_{2nm}(\xi) = -\lambda_{nm} [\gamma_{1nm}^2(\xi) + \chi_{1nm}^2(\xi) + \chi_{2nm}^2(\xi)], \quad (6b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi'_{1nm}(\xi) &= -2\lambda_{nm} [\gamma_{1nm}(\xi)\chi_{2nm}(\xi) + \gamma_{2nm}(\xi)\chi_{1nm}(\xi)] \\ &+ \sum_l \lambda_{ll} [\chi_{1nl}(\xi)\chi_{2lm}(\xi) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) - 2\chi_{1nl}(\xi)\chi_{1lm}(\xi)], \end{aligned} \quad (6c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi'_{2nm}(\xi) &= -2\lambda_{nm} \sum_{s=1,2} \gamma_{snm}(\xi)\chi_{snm}(\xi) \\ &+ \sum_l \lambda_{ll} \chi_{2nl}(\xi)\chi_{2lm}(\xi), \quad (n \neq m) \end{aligned} \quad (6d)$$

$$\chi'_{1nn}(\xi) = -2 \sum_l \lambda_{ll} \chi_{1nl}^2(\xi) + 2 \sum_{l \neq n} \lambda_{ll} \chi_{1nl}(\xi)\chi_{2ln}(\xi), \quad (6e)$$

$$\chi'_{2nn}(\xi) = -\lambda_{nn} \chi_{1nn}^2(\xi) + \sum_{l \neq n} \lambda_{ll} \chi_{2nl}^2(\xi). \quad (6f)$$

The initial values of the vertex functions are $\gamma_{1nm}(0) = \chi_{1nm}(0) = g_{1nm}$, $\gamma_{2nm}(0) = g_{1nm} - h_{2nm}$, and $\chi_{2nm}(0) = g_{1nm} - g_{2nm}$.

We now outline the RG calculation. There, it turns out that the case $\eta = \xi$, eq. (4), namely $\Delta q = \Delta p = 0$, and $\Delta\varepsilon = \omega = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$, is sufficient to extract the logarithmic divergence of the vertex and to reproduce eqs. (6). If the energy variables are chosen as in¹¹, $\varepsilon_1 = (3/2)\omega$, $-\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_4 = (1/2)\omega$, the vertex depends on one single variable ω only (the energy transfer in a scattering event) which, however, is sufficient to extract the low-temperature dependence from a $T = 0$ calculation via $\hbar\omega \rightarrow k_B T$. The diagrams contributing to γ_{snm} and χ_{snm} to lowest order are the standard bubble, triangle, ladder and crossed types. For their evaluation, we define Cooper and zero sound functions

$$C_{mn}(\omega, q) := \int \frac{dk d\omega'}{(2\pi)^2} G_m(k, \omega') G_n(q - k, \omega - \omega') u(k), \quad (7)$$

and correspondingly $Z_{nm}(\omega, q)$ with $(q - k, \omega - \omega')$ replaced by $(k + q, \omega' + \omega)$. Here, $u(k)$ is an arbitrary non-singular function, and $G_n(k, \omega)$ is the free $T=0$ -Green's function. One can easily extract the logarithmic divergent part for small momentum transfers, $C_{mn}(\omega, q = k_n - k_m) \cong -i[u(-k_m) + \delta_{nm}u(k_n)]\lambda_{nm} \ln(\omega/\varepsilon_0)$. For $q = k_n + k_m$, one has $Z_{nm}(\omega, q) \cong iu(-k_n)\lambda_{nm} \ln(\omega/\varepsilon_0)$. In both cases, the logarithmic divergence is due to a small region in k -space around the points $\pm k_n, \pm k_m$, where the dispersion E_{nk} can be linearized. In the Tomonaga-Luttinger model this linearization is performed from the very beginning, here it appears naturally in the evalua-

tion of the diagrams. Careful evaluation yields ($n \neq m$)

$$\chi_{1nm}(\omega) = g_{1nm} - \ln \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon_0} \left\{ 2\lambda_{mn}[g_{1nm}h_{2nm} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2)] - 4\lambda_{mn}g_{1nm}h_{1mn} - \sum_l \lambda_{ll} [g_{2nl}g_{1lm} + g_{1nl}g_{2lm}] \right\}, \quad (8)$$

and similar expressions for the other vertices. Here, for simplicity we did not include a spin-dependence of the interaction parameters or the λ_{mn} as, e.g., in a spin-orbit split system or in presence of a magnetic field, although the formalism easily incorporates these effects.

In the renormalization procedure, the cutoff ε_0 provides a natural means to scale to the new set of models⁽¹¹⁾ defined by a smaller value $\varepsilon'_0 < \varepsilon_0$. At the same time, one requires invariance of the scattering properties of the system which means invariance of the vertices, e.g. in the case of χ_{1nm}

$$\chi_{1nm}(\omega, \Gamma_{nm}(\varepsilon_0)) = \chi_{1nm} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon'_0} \omega, \Gamma_{nm}(\varepsilon'_0) \right), \quad (9)$$

where $\Gamma_{nm}(\varepsilon_0)$ denotes the coupling matrix with the corresponding 'initial' conditions $g_{snm}(\omega = \varepsilon_0) = g_{snm}$ and $h_{snm}(\omega = \varepsilon_0) = h_{snm}$. The functional dependence of the vertex on the energy and the coupling constants thus remains invariant under a scale transformation. For higher than second order perturbation theory in the bare couplings, one should consider the product of the vertex with two of its 'arms' (single-particle Green's-functions) as invariant, rather than the vertex itself⁽¹¹⁾. However, since self-energy corrections Σ are divergent only in second order and the bare vertex itself already is first order, eq. (9) is consistent. In fact, the first order Hartree-Fock self-energy $\Sigma_n(k)$ merely renormalizes the band energies ξ_{nk} (counted from the chemical potential), namely $\xi_{nk} = \varepsilon_{nk} + \Sigma_n(k) - \mu$, with the Fermi momenta k_n as solutions of $\xi_{nk_n} = 0$.

The dependence of the coupling parameters on ε'_0 gives the flow to the new set of models with modified cutoff. By differentiating eq. (9) with respect to ω and setting the new cutoff $\varepsilon'_0 = \omega$,

$$\chi'_{1nm}(\omega) = (\varepsilon_0/\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \chi_{1nm}(\Omega, g(\omega))|_{\Omega=\varepsilon_0}, \quad (10)$$

one obtains one of the RG equations eqs. (6), and correspondingly for $\gamma_{2nm}(\omega)$ etc. Frequency dependent singlet- and triplet vertices correspond to the respective couplings in the RG equations, $\gamma_{1mn}(\omega) = h_{1mn}(\omega)$, $\gamma_{2mn}(\omega) = h_{1mn}(\omega) - h_{2mn}(\omega)$, $\chi_{1mn}(\omega) = g_{1mn}(\omega)$, and $\chi_{2mn}(\omega) = g_{1mn}(\omega) - g_{2mn}(\omega)$. One can easily verify the agreement with eqs. (6) upon introducing the dimensionless logarithmic variable $\xi = -\ln(\omega/\varepsilon_0)$.

We now turn to the conductivity σ of the multi-subband wire, namely its temperature dependence, where the power-law due to the interaction effects is predicted. The latter are expected to be important in relatively clean wires, where the impurity potential $V_{nm}(q)$ for scattering from band n to m with momentum transfer q can be treated as a perturbation. However, the screening by the interacting electron gas is non-perturbative and changes the

impurity (backscattering) $V_{nm}(k_n + k_m, T) \equiv V_{nm}(T)$, which becomes temperature dependent and divergent for $T \rightarrow 0$, drastically. In the parquet method, upon inserting the full backward scattering vertices, an integral equation for $V_{nm}(T)$ is obtained describing the T -dependent screening effects on the impurity potential. In the equivalent RG calculation, one first starts from the perturbative expression for $V_{nm}(\omega)$ which again is logarithmic divergent, so that a scaling procedure must be performed, namely

$$V_{nm}(\omega, \Gamma_{nm}(\varepsilon_0)) = z \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon'_0} \right) V_{nm} \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon'_0} \right) \omega, \Gamma_{nm}(\varepsilon'_0) \right). \quad (11)$$

Here, scaled and unscaled quantities differ by a multiplicative factor z independent of ω . The bare couplings are replaced by the vertices to include all possible intermediate interaction-induced scattering processes which leads to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \ln V_{nm}(\omega) = -\frac{\alpha_{nm}(\omega)}{\omega}, \quad (12a)$$

$$V_{nm}(\varepsilon_0) = V_{nm}, \quad (12b)$$

$$\alpha_{nm}(\omega) := -\lambda_{nm} \sum_{s=1,2} [\gamma_{smn}(\omega) + \chi_{smn}(\omega)], \quad (12c)$$

for $n \neq m$, where we defined $V_{nm} := V(k_n + k_m)$, and $\gamma_{nm} \equiv 0$ for the case $n = m$. For low temperatures or correspondingly small ω , one can replace the vertices by their fixpoints, i.e. their $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ ($\omega \rightarrow 0$) values. The solution gives the temperature dependence upon replacement of ω by $k_B T / \hbar$,

$$V_{nm}(T) = V_{nm} \cdot (T/T_0)^{-\alpha_{nm}}, \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha_{nm} := \alpha_{nm}(\omega = 0)$ and $T_0 := \varepsilon_0/k_B$. Here, we neglected logarithmic corrections of the form $\log(T/T_0)$ which experimentally are not very important compared to the power-law dependence of the conductivity σ to be derived now.

Since the impurity potential is treated perturbatively, σ can be obtained from the Boltzmann equation⁽¹²⁾ for the multi-channel case,

$$\sigma = \frac{2e^2}{\pi \hbar} \sum_{n=1}^N l_n, \quad (14a)$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^N (K_{nm} - J_{nm}) l_m = 1, \quad (14b)$$

where $LK_{nm} = m^{*2} |V_{nm}(T)|^2 / (k_n k_m \hbar^4)$, $LJ_{nm} = m^{*2} \times |V_{nm}(k_n - k_m)|^2 / (k_n k_m \hbar^4)$ ($m \neq n$), and $J_{nn} = -\sum_{m \neq n} J_{nm} - \sum_m K_{nm}$, L being the length of the wire, and m^* the electron band mass. In the above, we used the bare scattering amplitudes for the intersubband forward scatterings since their temperature dependences are not strong. Below we assume that $\alpha_{nm} > 0$ for all n and m . In fact it is the case for all the examples of our numerical calculations discussed below. Then, at very low temperatures the K_{nm} are divergent like $K_{nm} \propto T^{-2\alpha_{nm}}$, and we may neglect J_{nm} except J_{nn} which contains K_{nm} . We obtain $\sum_{m=1}^N K_{nm} (l_m + l_n) = 1$. Note that each term in the left hand side of this equation is positive and

hence has to remain finite in the limit of zero temperature although the K_{nm} are divergent. Let $M(n)$ be the value of m for which α_{nm} is the largest for given n , and $\alpha_n = \alpha_{nM(n)}$. Then, assuming that $l_n \propto T^{2\alpha_n}$ at very low T , the term $\sum_{m=1} K_{nm} l_n$ tends to a non-vanishing constant in the limit of zero temperature. As for the first term $\sum_{m=1} K_{nm} l_m$, we find that $K_{nm} = K_{mn}$, and hence that $K_{nm} l_m$ remains finite because K_{nm} diverges more slowly than or like $T^{-2\alpha_m}$. Thus the assumption $l_n \propto T^{2\alpha_n}$ is reasonable, and the conductivity behaves like $\sigma \propto T^{2\alpha}$, at very low temperatures, where α is the smallest of the α_n .

So far we have not taken into account the divergence of the bare interaction potential at small $q \rightarrow 0$, see eqs. (2). The elements $g_{nn}(q)$ and $h_{nm}(q)$ are divergent like $h_{nm}(q) = g_{nn}(q) \approx -(2e^2/\varepsilon^*) \log Wq \equiv U_c(q)$ for $q \rightarrow 0$, where W is of the order of the width of the wire. This divergence is of the same kind as the one which appeared in the self-consistent equations for the vertices. Therefore in diagrams containing $g_{nn}(q)$ and $h_{nm}(q)$ with small q one has to replace the interaction with the screened Coulomb interaction $U_{sc}(\mathbf{q}) = U_c(q)/(1 + U_c(q) \sum_{l=1}^N \Pi_l(\mathbf{q}))$ where $\mathbf{q} = (q, \omega)$. Here,

$$\Pi_l(\mathbf{q}) = 2v_l q^2 / [\pi \hbar (v_l^2 q^2 + \omega^2)], \quad (15)$$

is the polarization function in subband l . Typical values of ω and q for $g_{nn}(q)$ are those with $\omega \sim v_n q$. Since q is very small, one can put

$$g_{nn}(0)^{-1} = \frac{2}{\pi \hbar} \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{v_l}{v_l^2 + v_n^2}. \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, the situation for $h_{nm}(q)$ is not so simple; we find that the important value of ω is an average of $v_n q$ and $v_m q$ which for $h_{nm}(0)$ leads an expression like eq. (16) with $v_n q \rightarrow (v_n + v_m)q/2$. This is sufficient to study the dependence of the exponent α_{nm} on N , the number of the occupied subbands, since the problem reduces to that of short range interactions and we can apply the preceding results.

In order to obtain the exponents α_{nm} , we have to solve the coupled equations eqs. (6). The fixpoints of the latter at $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ are obtained by putting the right hand sides to zero. The initial values of γ_{snm} and χ_{snm} are real, and hence they are real for arbitrary ξ . Then, from the equation for $\gamma_{2nm}(\xi)$ we find that $\gamma_{1nm}(\infty) = \chi_{1nm}(\infty) = \chi_{2nm}(\infty) = 0$, ($n \neq m$) and hence $\chi_{1nn}(\infty) = 0$. Although the eqs. (6) are very complex, we can solve them in some limit. Considering the expressions eqs. (2), we first note that unless $Wq \ll 1$, $h_{nm}(q)$ and $g_{nn}(q)$ are of order e^2/ε^* . On the other hand, as can be seen from eq. (16), $g_{nn}(0)$ and $h_{nm}(0)$ are of order of the typical Fermi velocity times $\hbar$. Therefore the ratios of $h_{nm}(0)$ or $g_{nn}(0)$ to $h_{nm}(q)$ or $g_{nn}(q)$ for $q \sim 1/W$ are of order a^* times the typical Fermi wave number, where $a^* \equiv 2\varepsilon^* \hbar / m^* e^2$ is the effective Bohr radius. The typical value of the Fermi wave number is of order $1/W$, and those ratios are typically of order a^*/W , as are the ratios of $\chi_{2nn}(0)$ and $\gamma_{2nm}(0)$ to the initial values of the other vertex functions. Thus when $a^*/W \gg 1$ (weak coupling limit), in the right hand side of eqs. (6) we can

neglect terms containing neither $\chi_{2nn}(\xi)$ nor $\gamma_{2nm}(\xi)$. From the remaining nonzero equations we find $\chi_{snm}(\xi)$ to decrease exponentially if $\lambda_{nn}\chi_{2nn}(\xi) + \lambda_{mm}\chi_{2mm}(\xi) - 2\lambda_{nm}\gamma_{2nm}(\xi) < 0$, where the weak coupling approximation is consistent and valid. Then, $\chi_{2nn}(\xi)$ and $\gamma_{2nm}(\xi)$ do not depend on ξ , and with eq. (13) it follows $\alpha_{nm} \cong \lambda_{nm}h_{nm}(0)$ and $\alpha_{nn} \cong \lambda_{nn}g_{nn}(0)$. eq. (16) yields $\alpha_{nm} \cong \alpha_{nn} \cong 1/2N$, and with $l_n \propto T^{2\alpha_n}$ we arrive at our prediction eq. (1) $\sigma \sim T^{1/N}$. We note that the exponent $1/N$ no longer depends on the interaction parameters in the weak coupling limit.

In order to verify the validity of the latter, we did numerical calculations for the case of two occupied subbands. The quantum wire was modeled by hard walls of width W . We calculated the quantities $\chi_{snm}(0)$ and $\gamma_{snm}(0)$ and solved eqs. (6) numerically. Detailed results will be given elsewhere. We used the values of $\gamma_{2nm}(\xi)$ and $\chi_{2nn}(\xi)$ for $\xi = 10$ instead of the fixpoints $\gamma_{2nm}(\infty)$ and $\chi_{2nn}(\infty)$. The $\chi_{snm}(\xi)$'s do not depend on ξ very much up to $\xi = 10$ and we find that the results are at large in agreement with $\alpha_{nm} \cong \alpha_{nn} \cong 1/2N$. Practically, the values of ξ are limited by the temperature T or by the length L of the wires, $\xi = 10$ corresponds to 10^{-4} times the typical Fermi temperature. If the latter is of order 100 K, the weak coupling approximation is valid down to 10 mK.

Integrals over the wave number should be cut off at $1/L$ in the lower limit¹³⁾. This corresponds to a cutoff for ξ at $\xi_L \sim \log(1/k_n L)$, k_n being the typical Fermi wave number. If $k_n = 10^6/m \sim 10^5/m$, $\xi_L = 10$ corresponds to $L = 100\mu\text{m} \sim 10\mu\text{m}$ so that our results are applicable to real systems.

This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas, "Mesoscopic Electronics: Physics and Technology" from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, and a STF 9 European Union Fellowship.

-
- [1] C. L. Kane and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** (1992) 1220 ; K. A. Matveev, D. Yue, and L. I. Glazman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3351.
 - [2] S. Tarucha, T. Honda, and T. Saku, Solid State Comm. **94** (1995) 413.
 - [3] See, e.g: J. Voit, Rep. Progr. Phys. **58** (1995) 977.
 - [4] B. Hu and S. D. Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **48** (1993) 5469.
 - [5] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990) 2306.
 - [6] K. A. Matveev and L. I. Glazman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 990.
 - [7] K. Flensberg, Phys. Rev. B **48** (1993) 11156.
 - [8] A. Kawabata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63** (1994) 2047.
 - [9] Y. A. Bychkov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, Sov. Phys.-JETP **23** (1966) 489.
 - [10] H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 1864 and H. Maurey and T. Giamarchi, Phys. Rev. B **51** (1995) 10833.
 - [11] J. Sólyom, Adv. Phys. **28** (1979) 201.
 - [12] H. Akerai and T. Ando, Phys. Rev. **B41** (1990) 11967, Phys. Rev. B **43** (1991) 11676. Although eqs.(14) are based on the Boltzmann equation, they can be derived from Kubo formula.
 - [13] M. Ogata and H. Fukuyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 468.